# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/687,907	10/17/2003	David P. Hannum	10971353-3	1941
22879	7590 06/30/2	004	EXAM	IINER
	PACKARD COM	TRAN, DENISE		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/687,907	HANNUM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Denise Tran	2186			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-13 and 16-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-13 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Lita har than Earning			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* , ,	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The applicant's amendment's filed 10/17/03 has been considered. Claims 1-3, 6-13, and 16-19 are presented for examination. Claims 4-5, 14-15, and 20 have been canceled.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the information and status of the parent application and the status of the co-pending applications, pages1 and 8should be disclosed.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-3, 6-13, and 16-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15-18 of copending Application No. 09/510,128. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims

Art Unit: 2186

1-3, 5-13, and 15-18 of copending Application No. 09/510,128 recited at least one type bit to 1 and frame bits to 1. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the type bit or frame bits because it would allow reducing size of the table.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lozano et al., U.S. Patent No. 6453387, (hereinafter Lozano).

As per claim 19, Lozano teaches a system for disabling matching of prospective entries with tables entries resident in a fully associative table (e.g., fig. 1, el. 2 and col. 8, lines 25-30 and col. 8, line 45 to col. 9, line 40), the system comprising: a plurality of entry locations in said fully associative table (e.g., col. 8, line 45-55); and a force update command for causing said plurality of entry locations to acquire predetermined bit values not present in prospective entries at ports connected to said fully associative table (e.g., fig. 1, el. 8 and col. 9, lines 40-55).

Art Unit: 2186

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,809,528 (hereinafter Miller).

As per claim 19, Miller teaches a system for disabling matching of prospective entries with tables entries resident in a fully associative table (e.g., col. 15, lines 35-60; col. 11, lines 55-65), the system comprising: a plurality of entry locations in said fully associative table (e.g., fig. 1, el. 104; col. 11, line 55-65); and a force update command for causing said plurality of entry locations to acquire predetermined bit values not present in prospective entries at ports connected to said fully associative table (e.g., col. 11, lines 35-50).

- 9. Claims 1 –3, 6-13, and 16-18 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record disclose illegal value as refer to a value which a prospective entry would not acquire in a normal course of program execution, see applicant's remarks filed 10/17/03, page 7, third paragraph.

Art Unit: 2186

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Denise Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-

9823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday and an alternated

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for

central Official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

D.T.

June 26, 2004

Demipar

Page 5